Sept. 5, 2025 - Leeuwarden (NL) litigation, video presentation by Katherine Watt

Video on YouTube:

- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0c7hP66Bgrc (English)
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PzeKfymafho (Dutch captioning)

St. Benedict Memo

- https://bailiwicknewsarchives.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/10/st.-benedict-memo-september-2025.pdf

Text:

INTRODUCTION

My name is Katherine Watt. I am a Catholic American writer and paralegal.

A paralegal is a legal assistant trained to provide legal research and writing services to attorneys.

I earned a bachelor's degree in philosophy with a minor in natural sciences in 1996 from Penn State University, and earned a community college paralegal certificate in 2003.

I have worked for newspapers as a reporter, and for attorneys as a paralegal, and I have written and published independent investigative reporting at my own websites on the subjects of local government and corporate corruption, on prosecutorial and judicial misconduct, and on homesteading and local food and water security.

Since 2021, I have published my work on the history of communicable disease control law and biological product law at Bailiwick News on Substack.

ADDRESSEE AND PURPOSE

I have prepared this video presentation for the judges in the multi-member chamber of the District Court of Northern Netherlands in Leeuwarden, case reference: C/17/190788, Case number: 23/172, against the Dutch State, Mark Rutte, Bill Gates, Albert Bourla, and others.

This video presentation is in support of my written statement, to be submitted in these proceedings by attorney Peter Stassen on behalf of his clients with Covid-19 injection damage at the court in Leeuwarden.

EXPERT OPINION

It is my informed opinion that communicable disease and pandemic threats are political fabrications based on widespread use of intentionally deceptive diagnostic testing devices, for the purposes of instilling public fear and justifying vaccination and biodefense programs.

It is my informed opinion that all vaccines, including but not limited to the injections labeled as "Covid-19 mRNA" vaccines, are unstable heterogenous mixtures of biological matter including bacteria, plant, animal and human cells and tissues, along with chemical toxins, heavy metals, preservatives, solvents and nutrient solutions.

It is my informed opinion that those who develop, produce, recommend, approve, authorize, procure, stockpile, distribute and use vaccines, for alleged disease control and pandemic response purposes, including but not limited to "Covid-19 mRNA" vaccines, know or should have known that injection of unstable mixtures of biological agents and chemical toxins causes biological malfunction and organ damage in living human and animal recipients.

This is due to living animal organisms' individual capacities to distinguish between self and non-self biological material, and to attempt to isolate, reject or expel harmful foreign or non-self matter that has breached natural protective barriers presented by skin, digestive tract and respiratory and lung surfaces.

These rejection reactions can and do take the form of auto-immune conditions (such as asthma, allergies); neurological disorders (such as multiple sclerosis and autism); cancers; metabolic, digestive and gastrointestinal disorders (such as obesity and diabetes); infertility and many other chronic conditions whose incidence in heavily-vaccinated populations has increased since the middle of the 20th century when mass vaccination campaigns targeting infants, children and expectant mothers began.

It is my informed opinion that those who develop, produce, recommend, approve, authorize, procure, stockpile, distribute and use vaccines, including but not limited to "Covid-19 mRNA" vaccines, have committed war crimes under the Geneva Conventions and US implementing law, specifically torture, performing biological experiments, mutilation and murder.

Their acts have no legitimate medical or protective purpose but have been presented, deceitfully, as having legitimate medical and protective purposes, to cloak their intentional harmfulness from public view, public comprehension and public obstruction.

It is my informed opinion that the war crime acts of vaccine-mediated torture, mutilation and murder committed by the defendants in this case, have been legalized under international legal instruments and US federal law governing biological product regulation, communicable disease control and pandemic preparedness and response, as enacted by the US Congress and US Presidents and executed by federal, state and local health, military and homeland security officials.

In my opinion, this precludes criminal prosecution of the defendants until such time as treaties have been amended to eliminate exemptions for acts allegedly committed for "legitimate medical purposes" and until such time as enabling US federal laws have been repealed by the US Congress or nullified by US federal courts.

It is my informed opinion that there can be no civil liability for -- or civil litigation to allege and prove -- design defects, because there are no design standards for vaccines.

There can also be no civil liability for -- or civil litigation to allege and prove -- manufacturing defects, because there are no manufacturing standards for vaccines.

In other words, criminal prosecutions of war crimes, and civil litigation against developers and manufacturers of vaccines, have both been rendered impossible, by law, to enable the past, present and continued future deployment of intentionally harmful products to intentionally harm recipients.

Cases such as the present case brought by plaintiffs can help to publicly expose the long-known and intentional harmfulness of vaccine products and vaccination acts, and to bring about the end of all vaccine manufacturing and all vaccination programs.

EXPLANATION OF WRITTEN EXPERT REPORT

The attached report includes two main sections, providing chronologically-organized collections of summaries of relevant US federal law and international law. An appendix section contains lists of supporting evidence in the form of US federal regulations, international Mutual Recognition Agreements (trade agreements), academic and government publications, court decisions and many other records.

My core findings are two-fold.

One, for more than 120 years, persons working within the US government's executive, legislative, civil administrative, and judicial branches have coordinated their law-making and law-execution efforts with persons working within supranational organizations (such as the UN-World Health Organization) and

persons working within pharmaceutical corporations (such as Pfizer and Merck), to disguise the malevolent development, non-regulation, production, procurement, stockpiling, and use of intentionally harmful biological agents and toxins as regulated components of benevolent *routine* public health and communicable disease control programs.

For more than 100 years, they targeted primarily infants, children and expectant mothers while operating vaccination programs at the local, state, national and international level.

Two, for approximately 25 years, persons working within the US government, supranational organizations such as the World Health Organization and Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, and pharmaceutical corporations have coordinated law-making and law-execution efforts to increase the speed, scale and frequency with which their deceptive acts are capable of inducing rapid, widespread (all-ages), schedule-driven submission to vaccination acts, by disguising their malevolent, non-regulated acts as regulated components of *emergency* "biodefense" and "pandemic preparedness and response" programs.

CLOSING

This court has an opportunity to further expose the original and long-running malevolence of vaccination programs to wider public understanding, and I urge you to take it up.

Please read the written report, titled the St. Benedict Memo. There are several people working to understand and explain many of the aspects of the ongoing, legalized war crimes program, including other witnesses prepared to testify in this case: Sasha Latypova, Mike Yeadon, Catherine Austin Fitts and Joseph Sansone.

Our work and the work of many others, whose informed conclusions differ from the official narrative, are censored and marginalized, preventing authentic fact-finding and evidentiary review of "Covid-19 mRNA" vaccines and the broader history of vaccines and vaccination programs into which "Covid-19 mRNA" vaccines have been introduced.

I am prepared to testify as to the substance of my findings under oath in court and to engage in public discussion with any experts who may be called to testify on behalf of the defendants.

I urge you to allow this case to proceed, in public view.